15 November 1989

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

SUBJECT: IPS RFCs

STAT

Good Morning

Yesterday's meeting was very productive for the IPS team. All
reports from CDR have been reviewed and approved. ISD's review of
the additional eight (8) reports is due by COB on November 22nd.

As discussed yesterday RFCs are required for the following changes
to the System Design Specifications:

> o Deletion of function key F7 option from Case Research Menu.
> o Addition of two (2) more case numbers to function key F8 option
>   for complete case listing on Case Research Menu.
> o Add three (3) subject fields to Appeal SQL table.
> o Add two (2) subject fields to Litig SQL table and delete one line
>   of subject field on Litigation menu.
> o Remove Litigation Grant from Final Menu for Appeal/Litigation.
> o Add Disposition field to Litigation Final SQL table and Final menu
>   for Appeal/Litigation.
> o Remove four (4) Deny Officers from Final Menu for Initial cases.
> o Remove four (4) Deny Officers from Final Menu for Appeal/Litigation.

Thanks for everything and please call if there are any questions.

STAT

Distribution:

STAT

- addressee

/CLASSIFICATION /10   /NOT /25   /SET/29

REQUEST FOR CHANGE

	CMB USE ONLY	
	1. DATE LOGGED	2. RFC NO.

TO: ODP APPLICATIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION

3. TYPE		4. OFFICE CODE	5. PROJECT NO.	6. PROJECT NAME
New Project		28	950	IPSLOG

3. TYPE	7. TITLE Case Research Menu Modification of Function Key F7 & F8	8. ORIGINATOR'S NO.	9. RELATED RFCs
New Project			
Replacement System			
Modification [X]	10. ORIGINATOR'S MAILING ADDRESS	0004/89	
Discrepancy	OIT/IBD/CRB	11. PRIORITY	
Other (Specify)	322 Ames Bldg.	1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	
		12. DATE NEEDED	

STAT

STAT

	14. OFFICE	15. EXTENSION	16. PREPARATION DA
	ISD		11/15/89

On the Case Research Menu function key F7 should be deleted.
Two case number lines should be added to function key F8 for
a total of 3 case numbers. Function key F8 will continue to
give a complete listing of all case data, for up to 3 different
cases.

18. IMPACT IF NOT IMPLEMENTED

If this change is not initiated the result would bethat function key F7
would not bㅐㅌ be used. It is designed to give a limited amount of
data, and would not satisfy most queries.

STAT

STAT

19. A	20. OFFICE	21. EXTENSION	22. DATE
	ISD		11/15/89

23. ACKNOWLEDGED BY:	24. EXTENSION	25. COMMENTS	26. DATE

27. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE		28. EXTENSION	29. DATE

30. C/CMB SIGNATURE	31. EXTENSION	32. COMMENTS	33. DATE

FORM 930 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS
3-83

CLASSIFICATION

1-ORIGINAL

REQUEST FOR CHANGE

TO: ODP APPLICATIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION

	CMB USE ONLY	
	1. DATE LOGGED	2. RFC NO.

3. TYPE	4. OFFICE CODE	5. PROJECT NO.	6. PROJECT NAME		
New Project	28	950	IPSLIG		

		7. TITLE	8. ORIGINATOR'S NO.	9. RELATED RFCs
Replacement System		Separate Subject Line for Appeal/Litigation	0005/89	
Modification	X			
Discrepancy		10. ORIGINATOR'S MAILING ADDRESS	11. PRIORITY	
Other (Specify)		OIT/ISD/ 322 Ames Bldg.	1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	
			12. DATE NEEDED	

STAT
STAT

	14. OFFICE	15. EXTENSION	16. PREPARATION DATE
	ISD		11/15/89

17. SERVICES REQUESTED, NEED FOR CHANGE, DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE

The current IPS system has three separate subject lines. The subject line for IPS-APPEAL and IPS-LITIG should be added in the new system.

1) The IPS-APPEAL subject line will display on 3 lines.

2) The IPS-LITIG subject line will display on 2 lines.

The above changes will require that the existing data in these fields be converted to the new system. ISD will review these lines to determine if any data will be lost because of the decrease in the number of spaces.

18. IMPACT IF NOT IMPLEMENTED

Data already contained in these data fields would be lost. We need to keep the 3 separate lines to record data that may be necessary in the Appeal and Litigation stages but not the initial.

STAT
STAT

	20. OFFICE	21. EXTENSION	22. DATE
	ISD		11/15/89

23. ACKNOWLEDGED BY:	24. EXTENSION	25. COMMENTS	26. DATE

27. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE		28. EXTENSION	29. DATE

30. C/CMB SIGNATURE	31. EXTENSION	32. COMMENTS	33. DATE

FORM 3-83 **930** OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS   **CLASSIFICATION**   1-ORIGINAL

REQUEST FOR CHANGE

CMB USE ONLY	
1. DATE LOGGED	2. RFC NO.

TO: ODP APPLICATIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION

3. TYPE	4. OFFICE CODE	5. PROJECT NO.	6. PROJECT NAME
New Project	28	950	IPSLOG

	7. TITLE	8. ORIGINATOR'S NO.	9. RELATI RFCs
Replacement System			
Modification X	Removal of Litig/Grant from Final Menu	0006/89	
Discrepancy	10. ORIGINATOR'S MAILING ADDRESS	11. PRIORITY	
Other (Specify)	OIT/ISD 322 Ames Bldg.	1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	
		12. DATE NEEDED	

STAT
STAT

13.	14. OFFICE	15. EXTENSION	16. PREPARATION D
	ISD		11/15/89

17.

Remove the Litigation Grant field from the Litigation Final Menu.
There is no such data to be added in this field.

18. IMPACT IF NOT IMPLEMENTED

Field would remain blank, because grant codes are not defined in the
Litigation cases.

STAT
STAT

19.	20. OFFICE	21. EXTENSION	22. DATE
	ISD		11/15/89

2	25. COMMENTS	26. DATE

27. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE	28. EXTENSION	29. DATE

30. C/CMB SIGNATURE	31. EXTENSION	32. COMMENTS	33. DATE

FORM 930 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS
3-83

CLASSIFICATION

1-ORIGINAL

SEE INSTRUCTIONS

REQUEST FOR CHANGE		CMB USE ONLY	
		1. DATE LOGGED	2. RFC NO.
TO: ODP APPLICATIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION			

3. TYPE		4. OFFICE CODE 28	5. PROJECT NO. 950	6. PROJECT NAME IPSLOG	
New Project		7. TITLE		8. ORIGINATOR'S NO.	9. RELATED RFCs
Replacement System		Add New Field for Final Disposition		0007/89	
Modification	X				
Discrepancy		10. ORIGINATOR'S MAILING ADDRESS		11. PRIORITY	
Other (Specify)		OIT/ISD		1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	
		322 Ames		12. DATE NEEDED	

STAT

STAT

	14. OFFICE ISD	15. EXTENSION	16. PREPARATION DATE 11/15/89

17. SERVICES REQUESTED, NEED FOR CHANGE, DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE

Final Disposition field should be added to the Litigation Final menu. Because a litigation case does not have a Grant code the information on the final disposition of these cases should be added to have a more accurate record of the case.

18. IMPACT IF NOT IMPLEMENTED

If this information is not input to the system then case data would be incomplete. ISD would have no way to detemmine the final disposition of a litigation case.

STAT

STAT

	OFFICE ISD	21. EXTENSION	22. DATE 11/16/89

23. ACKNOWLEDGED BY:	24. EXTENSION	25. COMMENTS	26. DATE

27. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE		28. EXTENSION	29. DATE

30. C/CMB SIGNATURE	31. EXTENSION	32. COMMENTS	33. DATE

FORM 930 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS
3-83

CLASSIFICATION

1-ORIGINAL

SEE INSTRUCTIONS

REQUEST FOR CHANGE		CMB USE ONLY	
		1. DATE LOGGED	2. RFC NO.

TO: ODP APPLICATIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION

3. TYPE		4. OFFICE CODE	5. PROJECT NO.	6. PROJECT NAME		
New Project		28	950	IPSLOG		
Replacement System		7. TITLE			8. ORIGINATOR'S NO.	9. RELATED RFCs
Modification	X	Deny Officers Field Changes			0008/89	
Discrepancy		10. ORIGINATOR'S MAILING ADDRESS			11. PRIORITY	
Other (Specify)		OIT/ISD			1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	
		322 Ames			12. DATE NEEDED	

STAT
STAT

		14. OFFICE	15. EXTENSION	16. PREPARATION DATE
		ISD		11/16/89

17. SERVICES REQUESTED, NEED FOR CHANGE, DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE

The Deny Officer field listed for all final cases only requires
a total of 4 Deny Officer spaces. After a review of existing data
ISD has determined that the most they would ever have a need for
would be 4. The current system and the new design of IPS currently
has space for 8. The remaining 4 can be removed from the Initial
Final and the Appeal/Litigation Final menus.

18. IMPACT IF NOT IMPLEMENTED

The additional 4 spaces are currently not being utilized in the IPS
system, and ISD can see no reason why future use would require more
that 4 deny Officers. These spaces would continue to remain blakk if
the above changes are not implemented.

STAT
STAT

19. ADP CONTROL OFFICER'S NAME AND SIGNATURE	20. OFFICE	21. EXTENSION	22. DATE
	ISD		11/16/89

23.	25. COMMENTS		26. DATE

27. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE	28. EXTENSION	29. DATE

30. C/CMB SIGNATURE	31. EXTENSION	32. COMMENTS	33. DATE

FORM **930** OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS
3-83

CLASSIFICATION

1-ORIGINAL